

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,036	02/15/2002	Michael Andrew Parker	SJO919990205US1 1965	
7590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER		
DAVID W. LYNCH			JOHNSTON, PHILLIP A	
CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC 1270 NORTHLAND DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 390 MENDOTA HEIGHTS, MN 55120			2881	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			$N\sim$	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/077,036	PARKER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Phillip A Johnston	2881	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - External after - If the results of the result	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repulperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pi		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⋈ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 70-147 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 70-147 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or incomparishment of the specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 15 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath o	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)	
2) Notion (3) Information (3)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail [		

Art Unit: 2881

## **Detailed Action**

This Office Action is submitted in response to RCE / Amendment dated 3-23 wherein claims 1-69 are cancelled. New Claims 70-147 have been added. Claims
 are pending.

## Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 70-88,105-127, and 145-147 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0059047 to Haaland, in view of Obremski, U.S. Patent No. 5,498,875.

Regarding Claims 70-73, Haaland (047) discloses an algorithm for predicting analyte concentration that accommodates system spectral drift that includes;

(a) A method of multivariate spectral analysis of repeat (sequential) sample spectra, where the repeat spectral shapes are transformed into a matrix of row vectors from which time dependent (an independent variable, as recited in claims 70,73, and

Application/Control Number: 10/077,036

Art Unit: 2881

145) spectrometer drift spectral data are subtracted, resulting in a drift compensated

matrix; i.e., compensated for the effects of drift as related to the independent variable

Page 3

time, as recited in Claim 70. See paragraph [0026].

and 145. See paragraphs [0004] and [0031].

(b) Performing a factor analysis that includes eigen vector analysis of mean centered temporal drift spectra, resulting in a set of principal factors, compensated for the effects of drift as related to the independent variable time, as recited in claims 70,

(c) Generating a prediction curve from matrixed spectral shape data (profile trajectories) that has been compensated for the temporal spectral drift of the spectrometer, as recited in claims 70 and 145. See paragraph [0066] and Figure 12.

Regarding Claims 105-109,111, and 112, Haaland (047) also discloses the use of a Nicolet 800 Fourier Transform spectrometer. See paragraph [0048]. Haaland (047) further discloses that the algorithm can be applied to other types of spectroscopy, as recited in claims 106-109. See paragraph [0027].

Haaland (047) as applied above fails to teach the use of software (programs of instructions executable by a computer), as recited in claims 145-147. However,

Obremski (875) discloses a number of available spectral analysis software packages.

See Column 10, line 5-19.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the multivariate spectral analysis apparatus and method of Haaland (047) can be modified to use the software packages of Obremski (875), to provide the ability to process

Art Unit: 2881

arrays and vectors representative of the data, resulting in the quantitative measurement of properties of unknown samples.

The combination of Haaland (047) in view of Obremski (875) discloses the claimed invention except for the spectral analytical techniques recited in Claims 74-88,110, and 113-127. However Obremski (875) teaches in Column 10, line 5-19 that spectral analysis procedures are well known, and are described, for example, in Factor Analysis & Chemistry by Malinowski and Howery (Wiley-Interscience 1980) as does Haaland (047) in paragraphs [0004] and [0042].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the spectral analysis procedures as taught by Obremski (875) and Haaland (047), and that such modification would provide the ability to process arrays and vectors representative of the spectral data, as recited in claims 74-88,110, and 113-127.

4. Claims 89-104, and 128-144 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0059047 to Haaland, in view of Obremski, U.S. Patent No. 5,498,875, and in further view of Ito, U.S. Patent No. 6,393,368.

Haaland (047) in view of Obremski (875) as applied above fails to teach the use of phase shift analysis in waveform processing, as recited in claims 145-147.

However, Ito (368) discloses waveform factor analysis along the time axis. See Column 2, line 47-65; and Column 4, line 58-65.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,036

Art Unit: 2881

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the multivariate spectral analysis apparatus and method of Haaland (047) in view of Obremski (875) can be modified to use the waveform factor analysis apparatus and method of Ito (368), to provide methods and apparatuses for analyzing spectra and to

## Conclusion

provide information useful in analyzing the components of a sample.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Phillip Johnston whose telephone number is (571) 272-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiners supervisor John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone numbers are (703) 872-9318 for regular response activity, and (703) 872-9319 for after-final responses. In addition the customer service fax number is (703) 872-9317.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

PJ

April 22, 2004

USDRY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5